<u>REMARKS</u>

The present submission is responsive to the Examiner's concerns noted in the Office

Action.

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicant appreciates the Examiner's indication of allowable subject matter in claims 12-

19 and 23-24. These claims have not been rewritten given the traversal of the outstanding

rejections below.

Summary of the Response

Claims 1 and 2 have been amended. Claims 3-8 have been previously canceled. Claims

1, 2 and 9-24 remain pending in this application. Reexamination and reconsideration of the

present application as amended are respectfully requested.

Claim Rejections Under 35 USC 102

Claims 1-2, 9-11 and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by

the Applicant's own admitted prior art. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

In the final action, when comparing to the AAPA, the Examiner stated that "a small

voltage drop will appear at node N1 and N2 before they turn off again...". Further, the Examiner

responded to Applicant's earlier arguments, stating that the claims did not claim explicitly the

voltages at the nodes are both dropped by the voltage dropping circuit.

Serial No.: 10/566,221

10

Claim 1 as previously presented recites: "a voltage dropping circuit <u>dropping voltages</u>
on a first node located between said first input portion and said first output portion <u>and a</u>
second node located between said second input portion and said second output portion <u>before</u>
changing from a state in which said first input portion is <u>disconnected</u> from said first node to a
state in which said first input portion is connected to said first node". (Independent claim 2
contains analogous recitations.) Referring to the embodiment shown in Fig. 3, the first node
corresponds to N1, the second node corresponds to N2, the first input portion corresponds to In1,
the second input portion corresponds to In2, the first output portion corresponds to Out1 and the
second output portion corresponds to Out2. The recited voltage drop is implemented at a time
<u>before</u> the connection between the first input portion and the first node <u>changes from</u>
<u>disconnected to connected</u> (as opposed to before making connection between the first input
portion and the first node.)

The Examiner referred to the AAPA, "a small drop in voltage will appear at node N1 and N2 <u>before they turn off</u> again, <u>thus, disconnecting</u> the first input portion with the first node or the second input portion with the second node". Applicant is not sure what "small drop in voltage" is the Examiner referring to. However, regardless, the Examiner is referring to a small drop in voltage <u>before disconnecting</u> the first input portion with the first node. Hence, even if such small voltage drop is present, the effect is completely opposite to the claimed invention. The recited voltage is dropped to a low voltage Vs_low (e.g., ground Vgnd) using the voltage dropping circuit corresponding to TFT 5 and TFT 6. Referring to Fig. 4, the presence of the signal Sd3 turns on the TFT 5 and TFT 6 to <u>drop the voltages</u> at nodes N1 and N2, <u>before</u> TFT 2 and TFT 3 are turned on with signal Sd1 to <u>connect</u> the first input portion In1 to the first node

Serial No.: 10/566,221 Docket No.: 1176/309 N1 and the second input portion In2 to the second node N2. Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to reconsider the claims with the foregoing in mind.

For at least similar reasons present above with respect to claim 1, AAPA does not disclose the recited first and second voltage dropping circuits recited in amended independent claim 2 of the present application. All the dependent claims 9-19 are therefore likewise patentable over AAPA for at least the same reasons given above with respect to claim 2. Further, dependent claims 9-19 include limitations that further distinguish from AAPA.

Serial No.: 10/566,221 Docket No.: 1176/309 Notwithstanding the foregoing, in the interest of forwarding this case to early allowance,
Applicant amended claims 1 and 2 to specifically require the voltage drop at the first and second
nodes to ground voltage, which further distinguishes from AAPA.

CONCLUSION

In view of all the foregoing, Applicant submits that the claims pending in this application are patentable over the references of record and are in condition for allowance. Such action at an early date is earnestly solicited. The Examiner is invited to call the undersigned representative to discuss any outstanding issues that may not have been adequately addressed in this response.

The Assistant Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 and 1.17 that may be required by this transmittal and associated documents, or to credit any overpayment to <u>Deposit Account No. 501288</u> referencing the attorney docket number of this application.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: January 4, 2008

The strategy of the

Wen Liu

Registration No. 32,822

LIU & LIU

444 S. Flower Street; Suite 1750 Los Angeles, California 90071

Telephone: (213) 830-5743 Facsimile: (213) 830-5741 Email: wliu@liulaw.com

> Serial No.: 10/566,221 Docket No.: 1176/309